

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/683,947	MEEK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Winnie Yip	3636	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Winnie Yip.

(3) _____

(2) Mr.Pratt.

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 15 February 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____

Claim(s) discussed: Amended claim 1 filed 1/12/07.

Identification of prior art discussed: In the record.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: As discussed the references in the record, a proposed examiner's amendment is made based upon the references in the record for the interview purposes. However, further search is required, and a final decision will be made after further review.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.



Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Atty. Dkt. No. 9201:E-US/11633.00078

PATENT

AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

Please amend claims as follows:

1. (Currently amended) A framing system for storage buildings comprising:
a plurality of studs, each stud defining a longitudinally extending body and opposing first flanges extending outwardly from the body at approximately an angle of ninety degrees, each stud further defining opposing second flanges extending outwardly from the opposing first flanges at approximately an angle of ninety degrees;
~~each stud also defining opposing foldable end flaps, wherein the opposing foldable end flaps form and~~ a plurality of holes or slots positioned linearly and extending transversely across the body effective for folding of the stud, wherein
the foldable end flaps are foldable along the plurality of holes or slots;
~~* said opposing 1st flanges and said opposing foldable end flaps extending in a same direction;~~
a plurality of track mounted to the plurality of studs, each track defining a longitudinally extending track body and opposing track flanges extending outwardly from the track body at an angle of approximately ninety degrees, the body of each of the plurality of track also includes at least one mounting hole at respective mounting locations of each of the plurality of studs.
2. (Original) The framing system of claim 1 wherein the plurality of track further include a plurality of mounting holes for the mounting of the track to the stud.
3. (Original) The framing system of claim 2 wherein the track is mounted to the foldable end flaps of the stud.
are mounted to the longitudinally extending track bodies between the 1st flanges.
4. (Original) The framing system of claim 3 wherein the plurality of track and the plurality of studs are made from a carbon steel with a galvanized zinc coating.
5. (Currently amended) A framing system for storage buildings comprising:

*Same
change due 1.
as*

Atty. Dkt. No. 9201:E-US/11633.00078

PATENT

a plurality of studs, each stud defining a longitudinally extending body and opposing first flanges extending outwardly from the body at approximately an angle of ninety degrees, each stud further defining opposing second flanges extending outwardly from the opposing first flanges at approximately an angle of ninety degrees;

each stud also defining opposing foldable end flaps, ~~wherein the opposing foldable end flaps form~~ and a plurality of holes or slots positioned linearly and extending transversely across the body effective for folding of the stud, ~~wherein the foldable end flaps are foldable along the plurality of holes or slots;~~

→ * a plurality of track mounted to the plurality of studs, each track defining a longitudinally extending track body and opposing track flanges extending outwardly from the track body at an angle of approximately ninety degrees, the body of each track also includes a plurality of mounting holes for the mounting of the track to at least one of the plurality of studs.

+ 6. (Original) The framing system of claim 5 wherein the track is mounted to the foldable end flaps of the stud.

7. (Original) The framing system of claim 6 wherein the plurality of track and the plurality of studs are made from a carbon steel with a galvanized zinc coating.

8. (Previously Submitted) The framing system of claim 1 wherein the plurality of track comprises a pair of track, and the opposing track flanges of a first one of the pair of track extend toward the opposing track flanges of a second one of the pair of track.

9. (Previously Submitted) The framing system of claim 5 wherein the plurality of track comprises a pair of track, and the opposing track flanges of a first one of the pair of track extend toward the opposing track flanges of a second one of the pair of track.